

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/891,615	06/27/2001	Ian Duncan Rubin	013306-5003	8850	
9629	7590 11/29/2002				
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			EXAMINER		
	YLVANIA AVENUE N ON, DC 20004	TW	FLOOD, M	FLOOD, MICHELE C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1654	α	
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/891,615**

Applicant(s)

Rubin et al.

Examiner

Michele Flood

Art Unit 1654



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover shee	t with t	the correspondence address			
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the							
mailing	mailing date of this communication.						
- If NO p	 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 						
	- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133) Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		·	,			
Status 1) 💢	Poppopolive to communication/s) filed as Con 27, 2	2002					
2a) □	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Sep 27, 2</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) X This act			·			
_							
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>13-17, 19-24, 26-28, 31,</u>	and 33		is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 💢 Claims 1-12, 18, 25, 29, 30, 32, and 34 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) 🗀 All b) 🗀 Some* c) 🗀 None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachm	• •						
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)			413) Paper No(s)			
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Inform	al Patent	Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Claims 1-12, 18, 25, 29-30, 32 and 34, in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground that the Examiner has only identified two different classes and subclasses for the claimed subject matter and thus has not shown that there would be a serious burden if restriction was not required. This is not found persuasive for the reasons set forth in the previous Office action. Moreover, the several inventions are independent and distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches (as indicated by the different classification). The search for each of the above inventions is not coextensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further a reference which would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Furthermore, the method groups are directed to different inventions which are not connected in design, operation, and/or effect. These methods are independent since they are not disclosed as capable of use together, they have different modes of operation, they have different functions, and/or they have different effects. One would not have to practice the various methods at the same time to practice just one method alone. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application.

Art Unit: 1654

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made **FINAL**.

Applicant is advised that the present application was transferred to Art Unit 1654. In view of the elected invention, Group I, the Office finds that an election of species requirement is deemed necessary as set forth below:

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the distinct ingredients of Claim 1, namely an extract of the genus Trichocaulon or the genus Hoodia.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 3-12 and 14 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/891,615 Page 4

Art Unit: 1654

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/891,615 Page 5

Art Unit: 1654

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Michele Flood whose telephone number is (703) 308-9432. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:15 am to 3:45 pm. Any inquiry of a

general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600

receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196 or the Supervisory Patent Examiner,

Brenda Brumback whose telephone number is (703) 306-3220.

November 25, 2002

Michele C. Hood.